Abstract: Forward osmosis technology is promising molecular separation processes employing osmotic pressure difference of two phases separated by a membrane. Membrane for forward osmosis application has a semipermeable property which selectively passes the certain molecules while reject others. Cellulose triacetate and cellulose acetate are common polymers that are often used in the fabrication of forward osmosis membranes. However, the solvent used in the fabrication of forward osmosis membrane is a toxic organic solvent. Cyrene™ (C₆H₈O₃) is a solvent derived from cellulose and is an environmentally friendly solvent because it does not leave sulfur and nitrogen emissions. This work is investigating the fabrication of forward osmosis membranes based on cellulose triacetate and cellulose acetate via phase inversion method, by using Cyrene as solvent. Various composition of the polymers and the green solvent were evaluated. The properties of forward osmosis membranes were characterized by its morphology by scanning electron microscopy and its performance based on water flux and reverse solute flux.
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1. Introduction

Forward osmosis technology is an emerging molecular separation process with various advantages unlike reverse osmosis such as no hydrostatic pressure needed and membrane compaction which would lead to membrane’s deformation [1,9]. Forward osmosis uses different osmotic pressure from two phases separated by a membrane. Membrane for forward osmosis application has semipermeable properties that able to selectively passes certain molecules while rejecting others. Forward osmosis technology uses two different solutions, one that has higher osmotic pressure called draw solution (DS) and one that has lower osmotic pressure called feed solution (FS). DS will pull water molecules from FS through a semipermeable membrane until both solutions reach equilibrium osmotic pressure [2].

Common polymers that are often used in the fabrication of forward osmosis membranes are cellulose triacetate and cellulose acetate. CA possesses a hydrophilic property that leads to a high potential for water flux and desalination [3].

The most solvent used in the fabrication of the forward osmosis membrane is a toxic organic solvent, such as NMP, DMF, and DMA. Those solvents create environmental problems as they release emissions which would lead to air pollution. Cyrene™ (C₆H₈O₃) is a solvent derived from cellulose...
and is an environmentally friendly solvent because it does not leave sulfur and nitrogen emissions [4]. This work is focusing on fabricating a forward osmosis membrane made by cellulose polymers with an environmentally friendly solvent.

2. Experiments

2.1. Materials

Cellulose acetate (CA) and Cyrène™ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland. All chemicals were more than 99% pure and were used without further purification.

2.2. Methods

The forward osmosis membranes were synthesized using phase inversion method. Various composition of the polymers and the green solvent were assessed. 10 mL of Cyrène was mixed by required quantity of cellulose acetate according to each composition determined (Table 1). To achieve homogenous paste, the mixture was stirred with the temperature of 85 °C for 4 h. The membrane solutions were then casted with a casting knife (Elcometer 3580) with 250 µm thickness. Vacuum chamber was used to dry the casted member filled with nitrogen. The properties of forward osmosis membranes were characterized by its morphology by scanning electron microscopy and its performance based on water flux and reverse solute flux.

Table 1. Composition of CA-Cyrene Membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cellulose Acetate %</th>
<th>Cellulose Acetate gram</th>
<th>Cyrene %</th>
<th>Cyrene mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Composition on Membrane Morphology

The cross-section images of CA membranes on three different compositions were investigated with FEI Quanta FEG 650 to observe the morphology of the membrane which can be seen on Figure 1. Using 300× and 1000× magnification, it was evidently observed that all the membranes exhibit two layers consists of a dense top layer and semi-porous layer in the middle and bottom of the membranes. The result of this morphology is similar to the membrane structure of CA membrane with acetone and 1,4-dioxane solvent presented in Shang and Shi (2018) with the general similarity of a top dense surface and porous structure in the bottom layer [5]. However, the CA-Cyrene membranes did not possess tear-like voids in the middle sublayer as the CA-acetone membrane did.

The dual layer of the membrane happened due to the phase inversion method, which made the membranes had an asymmetrical structure. The dense top layer was formed due to fast demixing between solvent and non-solvent, thus creating much more compact pores. The semi-porous layers located in the middle and bottom part of the membrane took a little more time to demix because of the formation of the skin layer, thus creating microvoids across the middle and bottom part membrane [6].
Figure 1. Microscopic cross-section images of CA membranes in 300× and 1000× magnification: (a) Cross-section of 9% CA membrane; (b) Cross-section of 12% CA membrane; (c) Cross-section of 15% CA membrane.

The surface was also investigated with 50× and 2500× magnification. As can be seen on Figure 2, the pores on the membranes varied. The membranes possess a rough surfaces with large voids with tiny pores within them. This could caused an increase in pure water flux as the number of pores and their size increase proportionately [7]. The 9% CA membrane’s pore size ranges from 0.578 µm to 1.8 µm. The 12% CA membrane has the largest pores, ranges from 3.5 µm to 39.94 µm. Meanwhile, the 15% CA membrane has the smallest membrane pores that ranges from 0.3430 µm to 0.363 µm.
3.2. Effect of Composition on Membrane Thickness

The membranes had shrunk from the initial thickness. The 9% CA membrane has the most shrinkage as the thickness decreased over 60.585% from 250 µm to 98.535 µm on average. On 12% CA membrane, the thickness decreased over 55.24% from 250 µm to 111.9 µm on average. The 15% CA membrane has the least shrinkage as the thickness decreased over 15.98% from 250 µm to 210.05 µm on average. This thickness decrease is anticipated on FO membrane. Ideal FO membrane is expected to be thin without supporting porous layer and have adequate mechanical properties. The preference of membrane thickness is based on internal concentration polarization (ICP) effect which it gets poorer if it is asymmetric and has a porous layer. Due to ICP occurrence within the membrane, the countermeasures cannot be tackled by hydrodynamic shear forces such as membrane processes with pressure driving forces [8].

3.3. Water Mass Transport

The 9% CA membrane’s water flux ranges from 1464,205 Lm⁻² h⁻¹ to 1572,136184 Lm⁻² h⁻¹, with the average flux of 1523,685744 Lm⁻² h⁻¹. The 12% CA membrane water flux ranges from 1566,026847 Lm⁻² h⁻¹ to 1627,120222 Lm⁻² h⁻¹, with the average flux of 1605,228429 Lm⁻² h⁻¹. The 15% CA
membrane’s water flux ranges from 1521.225039 Lm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ to 1568.063293 Lm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, with the average flux of 1542.013757 Lm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$.

4. Conclusions

The usage of green solvent cyrene in the fabrication of cellulose acetate membranes has resulted in a membrane with a dense top layer and a semi-porous middle layer with various pore sizes. As the concentration of the polymer increases, the pore size of the membrane tends to be smaller. The CA Cyrene membrane is more suitable when applied to MF technology due to its larger pore size. Further research is needed on how to reduce the pore size of the membrane with pretreatment or additive substances so the membrane could be applied in FO technology.
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